Reviewer A

Through this article the authors have presented their study on the dependence of "factor x" on "factor y" under the variation in "factor z" and "factor g". The study is well supported by experiments. However, the reviewer feels that the introduction part of the paper is too long and the authors can focus more on their work in this paper, this way their contribution would be well recognized by the researcher community. Hence are my suggestions

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Comments | Response by the authors |
| 1. They should categorically mention their major contribution in the introduction section in a pointwise format if they wish. But please be wary of space restrictions. | Thank you for your helpful review comments. Our major contribution through this paper are as follows:1. Our study shows that the earlier findings by various researchers to be true. However, the factor z was not well studied, through this study we show that factor z must be taken into account while studying the dependence of factor x.
2. Likewise, our study also shows that factor g affects the factor x in a significant way.

These points have now been mentioned in the introduction section in the updated manuscript. |
| 2. The authors must also present or atleast comment on the limitations of their study in the light of the fact that factor y is also dependent on the combined effect of "factor z" and "factor g". Also make any suggestions to the improve the study as a part of the future work. | Thank you for the comment, we have now included the limitations of this study in the discussions section. You are absolutely right that the combined effect must be taken into consideration and the days following our submission we too realized the same. |
| 3. Likewise, the text in the plots/graphs are not legible and the authors must provide high resolution images with clear text appearing inside their figures. | Thank you for taking time to pay specific attention to improve our manuscript. We have now updated the quality of all the 4 figures appearing in our manuscript. We hope the changes reflected are sufficient. |
| 4. The authors must ensure that the text inside the figures must be atleast of the same size that of the figure captions. | The text within the figures have now been made as large as the figure caption. Kindly go through the updated manuscript |

Reviewer B

Through this article the authors have presented their study on the dependence of "factor x" on "factor y" under the variation in "factor z" and "factor g". The study is well supported by experiments. However, the reviewer feels that the introduction part of the paper is too long and the authors can focus more on their work in this paper, this way their contribution would be well recognized by the researcher community. Hence are my suggestions

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Comments | Response by the authors |
| 1. They should categorically mention their major contribution in the introduction section in a pointwise format if they wish. But please be wary of space restrictions. | Thank you for your helpful review comments. Our major contribution through this paper are as follows:1. Our study shows that the earlier findings by various researchers to be true. However, the factor z was not well studied, through this study we show that factor z must be taken into account while studying the dependence of factor x.
2. Likewise, our study also shows that factor g affects the factor x in a significant way.

These points have now been mentioned in the introduction section in the updated manuscript. |
| 2. The authors must also present or atleast comment on the limitations of their study in the light of the fact that factor y is also dependent on the combined effect of "factor z" and "factor g". Also make any suggestions to the improve the study as a part of the future work. | Thank you for the comment, we have now included the limitations of this study in the discussions section. You are absolutely right that the combined effect must be taken into consideration and the days following our submission we too realized the same. |
| 3. Likewise, the text in the plots/graphs are not legible and the authors must provide high resolution images with clear text appearing inside their figures. | Thank you for taking time to pay specific attention to improve our manuscript. We have now updated the quality of all the 4 figures appearing in our manuscript. We hope the changes reflected are sufficient. |
| 4. The authors must ensure that the text inside the figures must be atleast of the same size that of the figure captions. | The text within the figures have now been made as large as the figure caption. Kindly go through the updated manuscript |