

Sustainable Development and Biotechnology Regulation: Emerging Challenges for Biodiversity rich countries

Dr. Prachi Motiyani¹ & Prof. Dr. Kittisak Wongmahesak²

1 Post Doctoral Research Scholor, Lincoln University College, 47301, Petaling Jaya, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia

Email : pdf.prachi@lincoln.edu.my

2 Faculty of Political Science, North Bangkok University, Thailand

Adjunct Professor, LINCOLN UNIVERSITY COLLEGE, Malaysia

Abstract

Biotechnology has revolutionized all areas of agriculture, healthcare, environmental management and industrial development worldwide. Biotechnology presents a huge potential for sustainable development, but also poses serious concerns on biodiversity conservation, biosafety, ecological imbalance, and equitable access to biological resources. Biodiversity-rich countries are confronted with particular challenges in their regulation, driven by the rising commercialisation of genetic resources and the development of new technologies, including GMOs, synthetic biology and gene-editing. The article analyses the impact of sustainable development on biotechnology regulation, particularly the difficulties of developing countries with rich biodiversity under international environmental governance arrangements. The study uses a doctrinal and analytical approach that is based on analysis of international legal instruments, biosafety regulations, principles of sustainable development and environmental governance mechanisms. The results indicate that the institutional capacity remains poor, biosafety infrastructure is still underdeveloped, regulations are still fragmented and there are conflicts between economic development and environmental protection, which still constitute major challenges for effective biotechnology governance. Another important key aspect of this study is the importance of taking precautionary actions and the necessity of international cooperation and a related regulatory framework for sustainable development of biotechnology and for protecting the interests of the communities and the biodiversity.

Keywords: Biotechnology ;Sustainable Development; Biodiversity Governance, Genetically Modified Crops

Introduction

Biotechnology plays an important role in agricultural progress, environmental sustainability, pharmaceutical and health care. The possibilities of economic growth and scientific innovation with genetic engineering, genetically modified organisms (GMOs) and gene-editing mechanisms are tremendous. However, these developments create also challenging legal,

ethical and environmental issues regarding the conservation of biodiversity, biosafety and sustainable development.

Especially, the national countries having maximum level of biodiversity are under threat due to ecological impacts of uncontrolled biotechnology practices and commercialization of genetic resources. LMOs can lead to an imbalance in the ecosystem, and loss of biodiversity through transboundary transfer. To rectify these fears a number of international agreements have been drawn up, such as the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety, and the Nagoya Protocol, which are focussed on promoting biosafety, the preservation of the genetic diversity of wild plants and animals and shared benefits.

Despite everything, developing countries have to face the following problems, lack of institutional mechanism, insufficient biosafety infrastructure and the issue of competition between economic development and environmental protection.

This article examines the challenges arising in the field of biotechnology regulation from a sustainable development lens and the international governance mechanisms for protection in countries with biodiversity-richness.

Related Work

The legal and environmental aspects of biotechnology governance and biodiversity conservation have been discussed by many scholars. Previous research focussed on GMOs and environmental threats of biotechnology products and processes, [1] whereas subsequently other research expanded to biosafety regulation, principles of sustainable development and biodiversity governance frameworks within international environmental law. [2]

The Convention on Biological Diversity and Cartagena Protocol have also highlighted the need for precautionary measures and risk assessment procedures in the regulation of biotechnology activities,[3] while scholars have explored the conflicts between international trade liberalization and environmental protection in the context of biotechnology commercialization.[4] The challenges of governance in the context of synthetic biology, CRISPR gene-editing technologies, digital sequence information and bioethical issues are highlighted in recent scholarship,[5] particularly in developing countries where technical expertise is lacking, governance is weak, and institutions are fragmented.[6]

Table 1. Comparative Review of Existing available Literature

Research Work	Focus on Biosafety	Sustainable Development Perspective	Developing Nations Focus
1.	Yes	Partial	No
2.	Yes	Yes	Partial
3.	Yes	Yes	No
4.	Partial	Partial	Yes
5.	Yes	Yes	Yes
Present Work	Yes	Yes	Yes

Key Contribution

This research paper contributes to the existing work in the following ways:

1. It critically discuss regulations of biotechnology from the perspective of sustainable development.
2. It assesses new biosafety and biodiversity governance issues in biodiversity-rich countries.
3. Combines environmental law, governance of biotechnology and sustainable development in one analytical framework.
4. It looks at international biosafety instruments and their implementation issues.
5. It makes policy oriented recommendations to enhance biotechnology governance in developing countries.

Method, Analysis and Results

Methodology

The study is doctrinal and analytical, using a method of analysis of international treaties, biosafety regulations, policy documents, judicial decisions and scholarly literature. The research examines legal aspects of biotechnology and sustainable development of biodiversity from the sustainable development perspective.

The study focuses on various aspects such as governance of biotechnology at international level, regulation mechanisms of Biosafety, Conservation and protection of Biodiversity , Principles of Sustainable development, and Institutional and regulatory challenges.

International Framework for Regulation of Biotechnology

At International level conservation and protection of Biodiversity governance by Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety, and the Nagoya Protocol.

The objectives of the Convention on Biological Diversity are :

- Conservation of biological diversity
- Sustainable use of components
- Fair and equitable benefit-sharing of biological resources.

The Cartagena Protocol is a protocol that specifically addresses the transboundary movement, handling and use of Living Modified Organisms (LMOs). It includes precautionary measures, risk assessment and Advance Informed Agreement procedures.

The Nagoya Protocol also reinforces the access and benefit-sharing requirements related to genetic resources and traditional knowledge.

New challenges for biodiversity-rich countries

1. Biosafety and Environmental Risks

Uncontrolled biotechnology uses can lead to ecological imbalance, genetic contamination, loss of biodiversity and danger to human health.

2. Weak Institutional Capacity

In many developing countries, there is a lack of scientific infrastructure and technical expertise to support effective biosafety governance and risk assessment.

3. Commercialisation of genetic resources

There is a growing commercialization of biological resources and traditional knowledge without proper benefit sharing with indigenous communities.

4. Governing Fragmentation

There are implementation delays and governance inconsistencies due to multiple regulatory authorities and overlapping jurisdictions.

Results and Analysis

The analysis of this research is that, as biodiversity increases it creates it is necessary to create balance between biotechnology invention and environment. The economic, institutional and technological constraints mean implementation will be non-uniform with international legal instruments providing an important governance framework. The study also shows that precautionary principles are an important aspect of biotechnology governance, especially when there is scientific uncertainty about environmental risks. To ensure effective biosafety governance, public participation, transparency and scientific cooperation are key. The results also suggest that existing international instruments are not necessarily adequate to cover modern technologies like synthetic biology and digital genetic information. Therefore, adaptive and integrated governance is needed to ensure sustainable biotechnology governance.

Discussions

Biotechnology management is necessary for the protection of environment, development of economy, human rights and scientific. The concept of sustainable development needs to be supported by regulatory systems that allow for technological development without harming the environment and intergenerational equity. But governance issues like weak institutional structures, lack of enforcement mechanisms and lack of public awareness persist, which hinder effective implementation of biosafety frameworks.

International collaboration is still crucial to enhance scientific capacity, technology transfer and regulatory harmonization. There is a need for more financial and technical support for developing countries to implement biosafety requirements under international environmental conventions.

In addition, new issues of synthetic biology, integration of artificial intelligence, bioethics, and climate-responsive biotechnology applications need to be taken into account in future biotechnology governance. Legal systems should thus be made more adaptive and participatory, and be able to respond to the fast-changing technological environment.

Conclusions

The study covered new issues in the regulation of biotechnology and sustainable development in countries with high biodiversity. Biotechnology offers great opportunities and environmental threats to biodiversity-rich countries. The institutional capacity and regulatory

fragmentation are weak, which affects the effective governance of biosafety. International environmental instruments offer valuable regulatory frameworks, but are not without implementation problems. Integrated approaches of legal, scientific and policy-oriented approaches are essential for sustainable biotechnology governance. The study is of a doctrinal nature and does not involve empirical analysis. Comparative empirical research with policy makers, biotechnology industries, indigenous communities and environmental organizations could be conducted in the future. Further studies are needed on synthetic biology and AI-driven biotechnology governance.

References

1. P. Sands and J. Peel, *Principles of International Environmental Law*, 4th ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2018.
2. C. Bail, R. Falkner and H. Marquard, *The Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety: Reconciling Trade in Biotechnology with Environment and Development?* London: Earthscan Publications, 2002.
3. S. Mackenzie et al., *An Explanatory Guide to the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety*, IUCN Environmental Policy and Law Paper No. 46, 2003.
4. D. French, *International Law and Policy of Sustainable Development*. Manchester: Manchester University Press, 2005.
5. E. Morgera and C. Tsoumani, "The Evolution of Benefit Sharing: Linking Biodiversity and Community Rights," *Review of European Comparative & International Environmental Law*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 150–173, 2010.
6. R. Andorno, "The Precautionary Principle in International Law," *International Journal of Biotechnology*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 11–21, 2004.