Advances in Dermatological Diagnostics: A Survey of Artificial Intelligence, Biological Homeostasis, and Optical Modalities

Supriya L P [1,*], Rahul Krishnan [2]

[1,*] Lincoln University College, Petaling Jaya, Selangor Darul Ehsan-47301, Malaysia.

[2,] Electronics and Communication Engineering Sree Buddha College of Engineering, Pattoor, Kerala, India

[1,*]supriyabinnyb@gmail.com

[2,] rahulkrish1990@gmail.com

Abstract

This survey explores the evolving landscape of dermatology, characterized by a significant shift from subjective visual observation toward objective, automated, and explainable diagnostic tools. By examining the intersection of advanced computational technologies and biological science, the survey synthesizes findings from five distinct research areas that address the limitations of current clinical workflows, such as visual variability and limited specialist availability.

The technological review details the implementation of a multiclass transfer learning system using **EfficientNet-B5**, which achieved a top-3 accuracy of 95.96% across ten disease categories. A critical innovation highlighted is the use of **Grad-CAM** visual heatmaps, which provide interpretability by revealing the specific image regions influencing the model's predictions. Further technical evolution is traced from traditional machine learning methods (e.g., the ABCD rule and SVMs) to advanced **Vision Transformers (ViT)** and the **FUSCANet** architecture. These modern approaches utilize spatial-channel attention mechanisms to capture long-range dependencies in images, significantly outperforming traditional clinical diagnostic rates. Complementing the computational analysis, the survey examines the biological foundations of **skin homeostasis**, identifying a complex neuroendocrine-immune system and a "four-part" barrier structure responsible for maintaining the skin's steady state. It analyzes how external disruptors, such as UV radiation and air pollution, impair these barriers, leading to common conditions like acne, sensitivity, and premature aging. Finally, the survey reviews **optical non-invasive modalities**, including Confocal Microscopy and Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT), which provide high-resolution structural and molecular profiles to complement traditional invasive biopsies.

Ultimately, the survey concludes that the future of precise dermatological care lies in the integration of high-accuracy, interpretable AI systems with a deep understanding of biological homeostasis and advanced optical instrumentation.

Key Words: - *EfficientNet-B5, Grad-CAM, Vision Transformers (ViT), FUSCANet*

1. Introduction

Dermatology is increasingly reliant on objective measurement tools to overcome the limitations of human visual observation. As the volume of clinical cases grows, deep learning has emerged as a critical solution for accurate and rapid diagnostics. However, understanding the physical and chemical barriers of the skin is equally vital for maintaining health. Dermatology is currently undergoing a paradigm shift, moving away from purely qualitative assessments toward a framework of **objective**

measurement. While the human eye is a powerful diagnostic tool, it is inherently limited by subjective perception, ambient lighting, and the subtle variations in disease presentation across different skin phototypes. To address these limitations, the field is increasingly integrating advanced optical technologies and computational intelligence.

The Biological Foundation: The Skin's "Steady State"

Before exploring diagnostic interventions, one must understand the biological complexity of the skin. It serves as a dynamic interface between the internal physiological environment and external stressors.

- **The Physical Barrier:** Primarily localized in the *stratum corneum*, this barrier prevents transepidermal water loss (TEWL) and shields against mechanical trauma.
- **The Chemical Barrier:** The "acid mantle" (a slightly acidic pH) and antimicrobial peptides maintain a "steady state," protecting against pathogen colonization.
- **Disruption and Pathology:** When these barriers are breached or the homeostatic balance is lost, clinical conditions—ranging from inflammatory disorders like atopic dermatitis to malignant transformations—emerge.

The Rise of Deep Learning in Clinical Diagnostics

As the global volume of clinical cases scales, the demand for rapid, accurate screening has outpaced the available human expertise. **Deep Learning (DL)**, a subset of Artificial Intelligence, has emerged as a critical solution.

By utilizing **Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs)** and **Transformers**, DL models can analyze dermatoscopic images with a level of granularity often imperceptible to the naked eye. These systems are trained on vast datasets to identify patterns associated with:

- Malignant melanoma vs. benign nevi.
- Psoriatic lesion severity.
- Automated staging of chronic wounds.

Optical Technologies: Bridging the Gap

Beyond software, the hardware used to capture skin data has evolved. Modern dermatology relies on a suite of optical tools that allow for non-invasive "optical biopsies."

Table.1.1: Modalities for Non-Invasive Skin Assessment

Technology	Diagnostic Function
Dermatoscopy	Polarized light to visualize sub-surface structures.

Technology	Diagnostic Function
OCT (Optical Coherence Tomography)	High-resolution cross-sectional imaging of skin layers.
Confocal Microscopy	Cellular-level imaging without the need for physical tissue removal.
Spectroscopy	Measuring chemical composition through light absorption and scattering.

This survey bridges the gap between **biological homeostasis** and **technological disruption**. By understanding the skin's physical and chemical barriers, we can better design AI and optical systems that detect the earliest deviations from health. The future of dermatology lies at this intersection: where the "steady state" of the skin meets the predictive power of cutting-edge technology, ensuring diagnostics are not just faster, but fundamentally more accurate.

Literature Survey

[1] The research paper, titled **"A Transfer Learning System for Skin Disease Classification Using EfficientNet-B5 with Grad-CAM Explainability,"** presents a deep-learning-based system designed to classify ten distinct categories of skin diseases. The study emphasizes creating a solution that is not only accurate but also transparent and deployable for real-world clinical use.

Core Methodology

The researchers developed a multiclass AI model using a **transfer learning** approach.

- **Base Architecture:** The system uses **EfficientNet-B5**, a convolutional neural network (CNN) known for its "compound scaling" strategy, which balances network depth, width, and resolution for high efficiency and precision.
- **Dataset:** The model was trained and evaluated on a 10-class subset of the **Dermnet** dataset, comprising **10,972 clinical images**.
- **Data Enhancement:** To handle real-world variability (different lighting, skin tones, and angles), the team employed **strong data augmentation** (rotation, flipping, cropping, and color jittering) and techniques like **Mixup** and **label smoothing** to prevent the model from becoming overconfident or overfitting.

- **Training Specs:** The model was trained with an input resolution of 470×470 pixels using the **AdamW optimizer** and differential learning rates (slower for the pretrained base, faster for the custom classification head).

Performance Results

The system demonstrated high diagnostic performance across diverse dermatological conditions.

- **Top-3 Accuracy:** Achieved **95.96%**, reflecting a clinical workflow where a specialist might consider the top three most likely diagnoses.
- **Overall Accuracy (Top-1):** Reached **87%** with a weighted F1-score of **0.87**.
- **Class-Specific Success:** The model performed exceptionally well on certain categories, reaching F1-scores of **0.96 for Acne/Rosacea** and **0.95 for Nail Fungus**. **Explainability and Deployment**

A major contribution of this work is addressing the "black-box" nature of AI in medicine.

- **Grad-CAM Integration:** The system uses **Gradient-weighted Class Activation Mapping (Grad-CAM)** to generate visual heatmaps. These heatmaps highlight the specific regions of an image that most influenced the model's prediction, allowing clinicians to see "why" a particular diagnosis was chosen.
- **Web Application:** The researchers integrated the model into a **full-stack web application** (built with Spring Boot and Angular). This platform allows users to upload images and view both the classification results and the Grad-CAM visualizations in a single dashboard.

Research Significance

The study concludes that while many AI models exist, there is a gap in systems that are simultaneously high-performing, interpretable, and ready for deployment. By combining **EfficientNet-B5's accuracy** with **Grad-CAM's transparency**, this research offers a framework that bridges the gap between experimental AI prototypes and practical dermatological tools.

[2] The "Related Literature" section of the research paper provides a comprehensive overview of how AI and deep learning have been applied to skin disease recognition, highlighting a shift toward more complex hybrid and transformer-based models.

Evolution of AI in Dermatology

- **Early & Foundational Models:** Initial studies utilized standard architectures like **LeNet-5, AlexNet, and VGG16** for efficient skin condition identification. Early work also focused on automated **border detection** in dermoscopy images using optimized color channels to improve diagnostic accuracy.
- **Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs):** Since 2015, CNNs have become the standard for dermoscopic image analysis. Notable advancements include:
    - **Multi-resolution-tract CNNs** that combine pretrained layers with layers specifically trained on skin lesions for higher accuracy.

- **Deep residual networks** used to effectively distinguish malignant lesions from benign ones.
- **Fine-tuned models** (e.g., ResNet-101) using transfer learning to detect various skin lesion types with roughly 90% accuracy.

Hybrid and Integrated Approaches

- **Feature Fusion:** Some researchers integrated preprocessing, segmentation, and feature extraction (e.g., using PCA for feature selection from AlexNet and VGG16) to achieve high classification accuracy.
- **Multiphase Architectures:** Integrated systems have been designed to handle all stages of analysis, such as using **Faster R-CNN (FRCN)** for segmentation followed by classifiers like **Inception-v3 or ResNet-50** for final diagnosis.

[3] The "Related Work" section of the FUSCANet research paper provides a historical and technical overview of skin disease classification, tracing the evolution from traditional machine learning to advanced deep learning architectures.

Traditional Machine Learning Methods

Early approaches to skin lesion classification relied on manual feature extraction focused on color, texture, and geometry.

- The ABCD Rule: Researchers often utilized the "Asymmetry, Border, color, and Diameter" (ABCD) rule to analyze lesions.
- Feature-Classifier Pairs: Early systems combined manual techniques like Principal Component Analysis (PCA) or ant colony optimization with traditional classifiers such as Support Vector Machines (SVMs), Multilayer Perceptrons (MLP), and K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN).
- Limitations: The survey notes that these methods require extensive manual tuning and struggle with complex, large-scale datasets.

Deep Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs)

The shift to deep learning allowed for automatic feature extraction through end-to-end training.

- Architectural Progress: The paper highlights standard CNNs that learn high-level abstract features directly from pixels and labels.
- Hybrid Models: Some research successfully fused local features from CNNs with global patterns from other architectures to improve classification accuracy.

Vision Transformers (ViT) in Medical Imaging

While CNNs excel at local feature extraction, they often overlook "long-range dependencies"—the non-local relationships between different areas of an image.

- Introduction of ViT: Inspired by Natural Language Processing, Vision Transformers treat images as a sequence of patches, allowing the model to capture global context and long-range dependencies.
- Clinical Success: ViT-based architectures have demonstrated outstanding performance in classifying chest X-rays and multi-class skin lesions, sometimes achieving the highest balanced accuracy in competitive challenges like ISIC 2019.

Lightweight Models and Feature Fusion

A critical part of the paper's survey focuses on the need for efficient models that can run on mobile or embedded devices in resource-constrained environments.

- Efficient Architectures: The authors cite models like MobileNet, ShuffleNet, and MobileViT as benchmarks for achieving high performance with low parameter counts.
- Feature Fusion: The literature shows that combining features from different layers or modalities is essential for detecting small or ambiguous lesions.
    - Task-Specific Strategies: Strategies like "Selective Feature Fusion" and "Bi-FPN" structures have been used in medical imaging to adaptively combine multi-scale features for better representation.

Attention Mechanisms

The survey identifies attention mechanisms, such as the Convolutional Block Attention Module (CBAM), as vital tools for enhancing a model's focus on important spatial and channel-wise information without significantly increasing complexity. FUSCANet builds upon these existing works by introducing enhanced versions like the ECBAM and scSE attention modules to further improve diagnostic focus.

- **Broad Applications:** Literature shows that deep learning models are highly flexible, with successful applications in related medical fields such as brain cancer MRI classification and predicting COVID-19 severity from CT scans.

Advanced and Vision Transformer Models

- **Clinical Comparison:** Advanced deep learning architectures have achieved up to **97% accuracy** on dermoscopy images, outperforming traditional clinical methods (93.6%).
- **Vision Transformers (ViT):** The paper cites recent studies demonstrating the efficacy of Vision Transformers in image classification, noting they can achieve high accuracy (e.g., 93.8%) by treating images as sequences of patches rather than traditional pixel grids.

The survey concludes that while existing AI systems are precise, many are limited by the small number of diseases they can detect simultaneously, which this paper seeks to address using an attention-based approach.

[4] The research paper titled **"Skin homeostasis: Mechanism and influencing factors"** provides a comprehensive review of the systems that maintain a stable internal skin environment and the external pressures that disrupt it.

1. Core Mechanisms of Skin Homeostasis

The paper identifies three primary interconnected systems and structural components responsible for maintaining the skin's steady state:

- **Neuroendocrine-Immune System:** The skin functions as an endocrine organ, receiving and secreting hormones as part of a peripheral **hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis**. Under stress, it synthesizes molecules like **cortisol** and **adrenocorticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH)** to regulate local physiological functions.
- **Skin Barrier Structure:** This is described as a "four-part" defense system:
  - **Physical Barrier:** A "brick and mortar" structure where keratinocytes are the bricks and intercellular lipids (ceramides, fatty acids, cholesterol) act as the mortar.
  - **Chemical Barrier:** An "acid mask" formed by a weakly acidic environment that maintains pH balance.
  - **Microecological Barrier:** A complex microbiome of bacteria, fungi, and viruses that prevents pathogen colonization.
  - **Immune Barrier:** Inhabited by specialized immune cells (like Langerhans cells) that detect and respond to threats.
- **Skin Metabolic System:** Constant metabolic balance is required; for example, the normal synthesis and transport of lipids are essential. When the barrier is damaged, enzyme activity (like GCase) increases to catalyze ceramide production and repair the skin.

Factors Influencing and Disrupting Homeostasis

The study highlights several environmental factors that can damage skin tissue and impair its barrier function:

- **Ultraviolet (UV) Radiation:** UVB rays cause direct damage and cell death (apoptosis) in keratinocytes. UVA rays contribute to cumulative changes like epidermal hyperplasia and chronic inflammation.
- **Seasonal Changes:** Temperature and humidity significantly affect sebum production. In cold environments, sebum and moisture levels in the stratum corneum decrease, which can trigger allergies and strong reactions to external stimuli.
- **Air Pollution:** Particulate matter (PM 2.5 and PM 10) from industrial and vehicle exhaust destroys the integrity of the stratum corneum and can lead to cell death in fibroblasts and keratinocytes.

Symptoms of Homeostasis Imbalance ("Unstable Skin")

When these regulatory systems fail, the paper explains that several common skin conditions emerge:

- **Dryness and Redness:** Caused by abnormal keratinocyte metabolism and decreased moisturizing ability of intercellular lipids.

- **Acne:** Often triggered by hormonal shifts (androgens) that increase sebum production, leading to hair follicle blockage and microbial infection (e.g., *Propionibacterium acnes*).
- **Sensitivity:** Resulting from an impaired barrier that increases the signal input from sensory nerves, making the skin hyper-reactive to physical or chemical stimuli.
- **Aging:** Involves both genetic factors and environmental damage (photoaging), characterized by a decline in endocrine function and a decrease in the skin's overall immune adaptation.

[5] The research paper **"Optical Non-Invasive Approaches to Diagnosis of Skin Diseases"** by Nikiforos Kollias and Georgios N. Stamatas (2002) reviews advanced instrumental methods developed to objectively evaluate skin in both healthy and diseased states.

Core Objectives of the Paper

- **Review Instrumental Modalities**: It examines the transition of optical tools from "bench-top" instruments to clinical "bedside" applications.
- **Assess Optical Properties**: It reviews non-invasive methods for measuring physiologic parameters such as color, erythema, pigmentation, and barrier function.
- **Case Studies in Disease**: It provides examples of how these techniques offer objective measures for specific conditions like acne, psoriasis, and non-melanoma skin cancer.

Key Optical Technologies Surveyed

The paper categorizes various technologies based on their interaction with skin, such as reflectance, fluorescence, and scattering:

- **Microscopy Techniques**:
  - **In Vivo Confocal Microscopy**: Uses near-infrared lasers to provide bright images of skin structures to a depth of 200–250 μm with 1 μm resolution.
  - **Two-Photon Microscopy**: Utilizes ultra-short laser pulses to excite fluorescence deeper in the skin, providing lateral resolution better than 1 μm.
  - **Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT)**: An interferometric technique that produces images similar to unstained histologic sections, probing depths of 1–2 mm.
- **Spectroscopy and Imaging**:
  - **Raman Spectroscopy**: Measures the vibrational states of molecules like keratins and water, often combined with confocal microscopy to create concentration profiles in depth.
  - **Diffuse Reflectance Spectroscopy (DRS)**: Used to determine the concentration of absorbers like melanin and hemoglobin.
  - **Spectral and Infrared Imaging**: Allows the acquisition of spectral data from every pixel in an image to identify specific chromophores or disease-characteristic signals (e.g., for dysplastic nevi).

- ATR-FTIR: Probes the very superficial layers of the skin (top 1–2) to measure surface lipids and water.

Clinical Applications Highlighted

The paper demonstrates how these tools overcome the limitations of the human eye, which is logarithmic and relies on contrast:

- **Acne**: Polarized light and fluorescence photography are used to distinguish between inflammatory and non-inflammatory lesions and to count *P. acnes* involvement.
- **Psoriasis**: Objective measures are provided for keratinocyte proliferation, erythema, induration, and scale.
- **Skin Cancer**: Fluorescence excitation spectroscopy (FEX) can detect changes in collagen cross-links induced by non-melanoma skin cancer.

In summary, the paper argues that while a dermatologist's trained senses remain vital, the advent of fast, inexpensive optical instrumentation allows for objective, absolute measurements that complement traditional diagnostic "golden rules" like invasive biopsies.

Analysis

The reviewed literature reveals several key themes in modern dermatological research:

- **Technological Evolution**: Research has transitioned from traditional machine learning methods like the ABCD rule and SVMs to deep learning architectures including CNNs (VGG16, ResNet-101) and, most recently, Vision Transformers (ViT) that capture long-range image dependencies.
- **Explainability and Efficiency**: Modern systems like EfficientNet-B5 now prioritize clinical transparency through Grad-CAM visual heatmaps, while others focus on lightweight architectures (MobileNet, ShuffleNet) for use in resource-constrained environments.
- **Biological Foundations**: Homeostasis is maintained by a complex neuroendocrine-immune system and a "four-part" barrier (physical, chemical, microecological, and immune). Disruptors like UV radiation and air pollution lead to observable clinical symptoms such as acne and premature aging.
- **Objective Modalities**: Optical tools like Confocal Microscopy, OCT, and Raman Spectroscopy provide high-resolution images and molecular profiles that complement traditional biopsies.

Conclusion

The Synthesis of Human Intuition and Machine Precision

The evolution of dermatology has reached a critical juncture where traditional clinical expertise is no longer being replaced, but rather augmented by a data-driven paradigm. While the "gold standard" of histopathological examination remains the bedrock of definitive diagnosis, the integration of objective instrumental data provides a crucial layer of quantitative validation.

Key takeaways from this survey include:

- **The Power of AI Architectures:** State-of-the-art models such as **FUSCANet** and **EfficientNet-B5** have proven that AI can match or even exceed human performance in specific classification tasks, reaching diagnostic accuracies as high as **97%**.
- **From "Black Box" to Explainable AI (XAI):** A significant milestone in recent research is the shift toward **interpretability**. Modern systems no longer provide just a probability score; they offer heatmaps and feature visualizations that allow clinicians to understand *why* a specific diagnosis was suggested, fostering trust and clinical adoption.
- **Holistic Integration:** True diagnostic excellence arises from bridging the gap between the skin's biological "steady state"—its physical and chemical barriers—and the optical tools that monitor them. By focusing on **homeostasis**, we can detect "micro-disruptions" before they manifest as visible clinical symptoms.

---

2. Future Work: The Road to "Smart" Dermatology

Despite the rapid advancements in deep learning and optical imaging, several challenges remain that define the next frontier of dermatological research.

A. Multi-Modal Data Fusion

Most current AI models rely on a single data source (e.g., RGB images or dermatoscopy). Future work should focus on **multi-modal fusion**, combining visual data with clinical metadata (age, history), biochemical sensors (pH, moisture levels), and high-resolution imaging like OCT or Confocal Microscopy to create a 360-degree patient profile.

B. Generalization Across Diverse Skin Tones

A critical gap in current datasets is the underrepresentation of diverse skin phototypes (Fitzpatrick scales IV-VI). Future research must prioritize **algorithmic fairness** and the curation of inclusive datasets to ensure that AI-driven diagnostics are equally accurate and accessible for all populations.

C. Real-Time, Edge-Computing Solutions

To move AI from the laboratory to the bedside, there is a pressing need for lightweight models capable of running on **edge devices** (smartphones and handheld scanners). This would democratize high-level diagnostic support, particularly in rural or underserved regions where access to specialists is limited.

D. Predictive and Preventive Monitoring

The next generation of "smart" dermatology will transition from **reactive** to **predictive**. By utilizing wearable biosensors to continuously monitor the skin's chemical and physical barriers, future systems could alert users to the onset of conditions like contact dermatitis or UV damage before physical lesions appear, truly realizing the goal of non-invasive, preventive care.

Final Reflection: The future of dermatology is not a competition between the physician and the algorithm, but a collaborative ecosystem where biology, optics, and artificial intelligence converge to protect the body's most vital barrier.

REFERENCES

1. Jiao, Q., Zhi, L., You, B., Wang, G., Wu, N., & Jia, Y. (2024). Skin homeostasis: Mechanism and influencing factors. *Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology*, *23*(3), 734–744. https://doi.org/10.1111/jocd.16155
2. Kollias, N., & Stamatas, G. N. (2002). Optical non-invasive approaches to diagnosis of skin diseases. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology Symposium Proceedings*, *7*(1), 64–75. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1747.2002.19634.x Cited by: 112
3. Liu, Q., Wang, X., Liu, H., Zang, X., Li, L., Ji, Z., & Ganchev, I. (2025). FUSCANet: Enhancing skin disease classification through feature fusion and spatial-channel attention mechanisms. *IEEE Access*, *13*, 100683–100698. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2025.3577740
4. Noor, M. N., Haneef, F., Ashraf, I., & Masud, M. (2025). Enhanced skin disease classification via dataset refinement and attention-based vision approach. *Bioengineering*, *12*(3), 275. https://doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering12030275
5. Turuta, D., Robu, R., & Filip, I. (2026). A transfer learning system for skin disease classification using EfficientNet-B5 with Grad-CAM explainability. *Applied Sciences*, *16*(6), 3083. https://doi.org/10.3390/app16063083
6. Arifin, M. S., Kibria, M. G., Firoze, A., Amini, M. A., & Yan, H. (2012, July). Dermatological disease diagnosis using color-skin images. In 2012 international conference on machine learning and cybernetics (Vol. 5, pp. 1675-1680). IEEE.
7. Jaiyeoba, O., Jaiyeoba, O., Ogbuju, E., & Oladipo, F. (2024). AI-based detection techniques for skin diseases: A review of recent methods, datasets, metrics, and challenges. Journal of Future Artificial Intelligence and Technologies, 1(3), 318-336.
8. Sreekala, K., Rajkumar, N., Sugumar, R., Sagar, K. D., Shobarani, R., Krishnamoorthy, K. P., ... & Yeshitla, A. (2022). Skin diseases classification using hybrid AI based localization approach. Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience, 2022(1), 6138490.
9. Dahiya, A., Chordia, S., Yadav, S., Kacheria, S., Gonge, S. S., Parashar, D., & Bahadure, N. (2026). GlowSkinFit: machine learning and CNN-based approaches for accurate skin disease detection. Discover Applied Sciences.
10. Sarı, M. O., & Keser, K. (2025). Classification of skin diseases with deep learning-based approaches. Scientific Reports, 15(1), 27506.
11. Bijoy, M. H. I., Rahman, M. M., Sattar, A., Haque, A., Arefin, M. S., Dhar, P. K., & Shimamura, T. (2025). SkinIncept: an ensemble transfer learning-based approach for multiclass skin disease classification using InceptionV3 and InceptionResNetV2. Discover Applied Sciences, 7(5), 433.
12. Liu, H., Dou, Y., Wang, K., Zou, Y., Sen, G., Liu, X., & Li, H. (2025). A skin disease classification model based on multi scale combined efficient channel attention module. Scientific Reports, 15(1), 6116.
13. Supriya L.P.' Khilar, Rashmita. (2025). A Novel Hybrid Model for Skin Disease Classification: Optimizing Feature Extraction and Sequential Learning for Accurate Dermatological Diagnosis International Journal of Intelligent Engineering and Systems DOI: 10.22266/ijies2025.0630.47
14. Supriya L.P.' Khilar, Rashmita. (2025). DEEPDERMANET: A Novel Dermatology Lesion Diagnosis Model Using Deep Learning Techniques, DOI: 10.1109/ICETEA64585.2025.11099977